

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/651,641	08/28/2003	Kimberly Scoville	2324.PIC.NP	7815	
DANIDALI D	7590 01/23/2008 DATEMANI		EXAMINER		
RANDALL B. BATEMAN BATEMAN IP LAW GROUP			WAGGONER, TIMOTHY R		
8 EASST BROADWAY, SUITE 550 PO BOX 1319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CITY, UT 84110	. *	3651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		01/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				μ
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	10/651,641	SCOVILLE ET AL.	
<i>u</i> 0	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		TIMOTHY R. WAGGONER	3651	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
	for Reply		VO VO A.VO.	
WH - Ex aft - If N - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Detensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. For SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. We period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period dilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON.  timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	August 2003.		
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is	3
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	ition of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•
Applica	tion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	i).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).	
а	ı)			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applica	ation No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	prity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage	
	application from the International Burea	, , , ,		
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ved.	
Attachme	ent(s)			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa		
	rice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informal	Date I Patent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 3651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13 and 31-40, drawn to dispensing infant beverage, classified in class 221, subclass 92.
- II. Claims 14-21, drawn to container, classified in class 426, subclass 117.
- III. Claims 22-30, drawn to heating, classified in class 221, subclass 150a.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a bottle of a different configuration could be used with in the vending machine or methods. The subcombination has separate utility such as a stand alone bottle.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if

Art Unit: 3651

any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the vending machine and methods claimed do not require this specific heating device to function. The subcombination has separate utility such as a stand alone heating device or a heating device in a different kind of vending machine.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Art Unit: 3651

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election

Art Unit: 3651

shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative on 01/15/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY R. WAGGONER whose telephone number is (571)272-8204. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8am-2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**TRW**